UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/798,527	03/11/2004	Steven M. Betker	QN1071.US	7244
22145 7590 05/15/2008 KLEIN, O'NEILL & SINGH, LLP 43 CORPORATE PARK SUITE 204			EXAMINER	
			HARPER, KEVIN C	
IRVINE, CA 92	2606		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2616	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/15/2008	PAPER

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Comments	10/798,527	BETKER, STEVEN M.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Kevin C. Harper	2616			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 Ja	anuary 2008				
	action is non-final.				
<i>i</i>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Globba III deceration Will the practice and I	Expante Quayre, 1000 C.B. 11, 10	0.0.210.			
Disposition of Claims					
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-18 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) 1,2,4-7 and 14-18 is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 8-13 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>					
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te			

Application/Control Number: 10/798,527 Page 2

Art Unit: 2616

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed January 22, 2008 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Diepstraten.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 8-9 and 11-12 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Diepstraten et al. (US 2004/0092278).

- 1. Regarding claims 8-9 and 11-12, Diepstraten discloses placing data frames in several queues according to priority and selecting a frame to transmit according to priority (para. 11). A low priority queue frame is transmitted when a threshold number of higher priority frames has been selected (para. 11)
- 2. However, Diepstraten does not disclose Fibre Channel. Applicant discloses that Fibre Channel is a well-known standard (specification, pages 1-4). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to use Fibre Channel in the invention of Diepstraten in order to provide an alternative transmission format as desired.

Claims 10 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Diepstraten as applied to claim 8 or 11 above, and further in view of Lee et al. (US 6,859,435).

3. Regarding claims 10 and 13, Diepstraten does not disclose using a hop count for priority. However, Lee discloses a method for transmitting frames comprising determining a frame's

priority based on a hop count (col. 4, lines 11-19). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to use a hop count as priority in the invention of Diepstraten in order to transmit packets based on proximity to a destination (col. 4, lines 11-16).

#### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-2, 4-7 and 15-18 are allowed.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin Harper whose telephone number is 571-272-3166. The examiner can normally be reached weekdays from 11:00 AM to 7:00 PM ET.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Doris To, can be reached at 571-272-7629. The centralized fax number for the Patent Office is 571-273-8300. For non-official communications, the examiner's personal fax number is 571-273-3166 and the examiner's e-mail address is kevin.harper@uspto.gov.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications associated with a customer number is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see portal uspto gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-

Application/Control Number: 10/798,527 Page 4

Art Unit: 2616

9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Kevin C. Harper/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2616

May 12, 2008